

1 HEATHER E. WILLIAMS, State Bar No. 122664  
Federal Defender

2 DAVID HARSHAW, KY State Bar No. 86435

3 Assistant Federal Defender

KARL SADDLEMIRE, State Bar No. 275856

4 Assistant Federal Defender

801 I Street, 3rd Floor

5 Sacramento, California 95814

Telephone: (916) 498-6666

6 Fax: (916) 498-6656

7 E-mail: David\_Harshaw@fd.org

*Attorneys for Petitioner*

8 XAVIER BECERRA, State Bar No. 118517

9 Attorney General of California

SEAN M. MCCOY, State Bar No. 182516

10 Deputy Attorney General

11 PETER H. SMITH, State Bar No. 138957

Deputy Attorney General

12 DARREN K. INDERMILL, State Bar No. 252122

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

13 ROSS K. NAUGHTON, State Bar No. 254926

Deputy Attorney General

14 1300 I Street, Suite 125

15 P.O. Box 944255

Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

16 Telephone: (916) 210-7680

Fax: (916) 324-2960

17 E-mail: Peter.Smith@doj.ca.gov

18 *Attorneys for Respondent*

19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

20 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

21  
22 JEFFREY JAY HAWKINS,

23 Petitioner,

24 v.

25 RON DAVIS, Warden of the  
26 California State Prison at San Quentin,

27  
28 Respondent.

) No. 2:96-cv-1155-TLN-EFB

) **DEATH PENALTY CASE**

) **[PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER**

1           Petitioner Jeffrey Jay Hawkins and Respondent Warden Ron Davis recognize that pursuit  
2 of the ineffective assistance of counsel, conflict of interest, and duty of loyalty claims by  
3 Petitioner during the evidentiary hearing in this federal habeas action will intrude upon matters  
4 protected by the attorney-client and attorney work product privileges. The parties agree that  
5 pursuant to *Bittaker v. Woodford*, 331 F.3d 715, 720 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc), *cert. denied*, 540  
6 U.S. 1013 (2003), Petitioner has waived his attorney-client and work product privileges only to  
7 the extent necessary to litigate his claims of ineffective assistance of counsel, conflict of interest,  
8 and duty of loyalty in these federal habeas proceedings. A protective order will prevent the  
9 unauthorized use and disclosure of confidential materials.

10           The parties agree to the issuance of the following protective order. *See Bittaker*, 331 F.3d  
11 at 717 n.1; *see also Lambright v. Ryan*, 698 F.3d 808 (9th Cir. 2012).

12           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

13           For purposes of the evidentiary hearing and preparation for the evidentiary hearing in this  
14 federal habeas action, all of trial counsel's files and/or billing records, including the files of any  
15 investigators or experts retained by trial counsel, shall be deemed to be confidential. These  
16 documents and materials (hereinafter "documents") may be used only for purposes of litigating  
17 these habeas corpus proceedings, including any appeals.

18           These documents disclosed to Respondent's counsel from trial counsels' files and/or  
19 billing records may be used only by representatives from the Office of the California Attorney  
20 General and any expert retained by the Attorney General's Office in this federal habeas  
21 proceeding. If a representative of the Attorney General's Office provides the confidential  
22 materials to an expert as authorized above, the Attorney General's Office shall inform the expert  
23 of this protective order and the expert's obligation to keep the documents confidential.

24           Accordingly, all legal teams and all persons retained or consulted by the parties to litigate  
25 this matter may not use or disclose the existence or contents of any trial counsel file and/or  
26 billing record outside the context of these habeas proceedings, including any appeals. Nor may  
27 such persons publicly disclose the existence or contents of any such documents during this  
28 habeas corpus proceeding.

1 Disclosure of the contents of the documents and the documents themselves may not be  
2 made to any other persons or agencies, including any other law enforcement or prosecutorial  
3 personnel or agencies, without an order from this Court. However, the terms of this order do not  
4 prohibit representatives of the Attorney General's Office from disclosing or discussing items  
5 within the confidential materials with Petitioner's trial counsel or anyone on the trial team who  
6 worked on behalf of trial counsel (e.g., defense paralegals/assistants and defense investigators).  
7 Nor does this order prohibit representatives of the Attorney General's Office from disclosing and  
8 discussing with witnesses their own statements or observations that were recorded or  
9 summarized in any reports contained in trial counsel's files.

10 This order also applies to any statements and/or testimony of Petitioner, Petitioner's trial  
11 counsel, and Petitioner's experts or investigators concerning the contents of the confidential  
12 documents or statements and/or testimony involving protected information not related to any  
13 confidential documents that necessarily must be revealed to or by either party in this litigation.  
14 Whether in pleadings, at a deposition, at the evidentiary hearing, or on appeal, any revelations of  
15 confidential material are not deemed a waiver of Petitioner's attorney/client privilege and work  
16 product privilege for any purpose other than the litigation of this habeas proceeding.

17 Because the attorney-client and work product privileges have been waived only to the  
18 extent necessary to litigate this proceeding, *see Bittaker* at 720, any pleading, deposition  
19 transcript, reporter's transcript, discovery response or request, or other papers served on  
20 opposing counsel or filed or lodged with any court that contains or reveals the existence of  
21 substantive content of confidential matter shall be **submitted to the court with an appropriate**  
22 **motion to file them under seal. If the motion is granted, the filing** shall be under seal and shall  
23 begin with a separate caption that includes the following confidentiality notice or its equivalent:

24 **"TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL**

25 **THIS PLEADING OR DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL**  
26 **SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER AND IS NOT TO BE OPENED NOR ITS**  
27 **CONTENTS DISPLAYED OR DISCLOSED"**  
28

1 If confidential documents or portions thereof are cited in or appended to the parties'  
2 pleadings **and a motion for a sealing order has been granted**, the pleadings shall be filed with the  
3 clerk under the procedures designated for sealed documents under the rules of court. The  
4 pleadings will be prominently marked with the caption of the case and the foregoing  
5 Confidentiality Notice or its equivalent. Insofar as reasonably feasible, only confidential  
6 portions of the filings shall be under seal; the parties shall tailor their documents to limit, as  
7 much as is practicable, the quantity of material that is to be filed under seal. When a pleading or  
8 document contains only a limited amount of privileged content, a party may file a complete copy  
9 under seal and at the same time file on the public record an additional, redacted version of the  
10 document, blocking out the limited matter comprising the confidential portions. ~~This Court will~~  
11 ~~follow a procedure similar or equivalent when issuing or filing opinions, findings and~~  
12 ~~recommendations, orders, or other documents in this case.~~

13 **This Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to**  
14 **discovery and the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited**  
15 **information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal**  
16 **principles. Further, this Order does not entitle the parties to file confidential information under**  
17 **seal. Rather, Local Rule 141 sets forth the procedures that must be followed when a party seeks**  
18 **permission from the court to file material under seal. Any request to file documents under seal**  
19 **shall comply with that rule and shall satisfy existing caselaw as to the applicable standards for**  
20 **sealing documents.**

21 Disclosures of confidential materials in these habeas proceedings, and/or any related  
22 testimony at a deposition or evidentiary hearing in this case, do not constitute a waiver of  
23 Petitioner's rights under the Fifth and Sixth Amendments or under attorney-client or work  
24 product privileges in event of any retrial. *See Lambright*, 698 F.3d at 818; *see also People v.*  
25 *Ledesma*, 39 Cal.4th 641, 695 (2006) (state law in accord).

26 This order shall continue in effect after the conclusion of the habeas corpus proceedings  
27 and specifically shall apply in the event of a retrial of all or any portion of Petitioner's criminal  
28 case, except that either party maintains the right to request modification or vacation of this order

1 upon entry of final judgment in this matter. This Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction  
2 over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the provisions of this order and imposing  
3 appropriate sanctions for any violation.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: October 2, 2019.

  
EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28